

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/092,715 03/08/2002 Steven J. Catani 15117.0091 7318 23767 03/23/2004 EXAMINER PRESTON GATES ELLIS & ROUVELAS MEEDS LLP KRISHNAN, GANAPATHY 1735 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW, SUITE 500 WASHINGTON, DC 20006 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1623

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)	
			92,715	CATANI ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Exam		Art Unit	
			pathy Krishnan	1623	
	The MAILING DATE of this commun		•	1	
Period for I					
THE MA - Extensio after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION IN STATE OF THIS COMMUNION IN THE COMMUNION IN THIS COMMUNION IN THE COMMUNION IN THE COMMUNION IN	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In r nunication. 0) days, a reply within the tutory period will apply a will, by statute, cause the	no event, however, may a re- e statutory minimum of thirty nd will expire SIX (6) MON ² e application to become AB.	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. "HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
1) 🗌 Re	esponsive to communication(s) file	d on			
	•	2b)⊠ This action	is non-final.		
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition	of Claims				
4)⊠ Cl: 4a) 5)⊠ Cl: 6)⊠ Cl: 7)□ Cl:	aim(s) <u>5,7-10 and 12-48</u> is/are pend Of the above claim(s) is/are aim(s) <u>12-48</u> is/are allowed. aim(s) <u>5 and 7-10</u> is/are rejected. aim(s) is/are objected to. aim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from	consideration.		
Application	Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	e oath or declaration is objected to) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 	ocuments have b ocuments have b f the priority docu al Bureau (PCT F	een received. een received in App ments have been re Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)				nmary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152) .	

Application/Control Number: 10/092,715

Art Unit: 1623

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed January 13, 2004 has been received, entered into the record and carefully considered. The following information provided affects the application:

- 1. Claims 1-4, 6, 11 and 49-54 have been cancelled.
- 2. Claims 5, 7-9 and 12 have been amended.
- 3. Remarks drawn to 102(e), claim objection to allowable claims.

Claims 5, 7-10, 12-48 are pending.

The finality of the previous office action has been withdrawn and the following new rejections are made of record.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The rejections of claims 1-4, 6 and 11 have been rendered moot by cancellation of the claims. The following rejections are made of record.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 5, 7-10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Navia et al (US 5498709).

Claims 5, 7-10 are drawn to removal of impurities from a composition including sucralose and first and second impurities with first and second solvents having partial

Application/Control Number: 10/092,715

Art Unit: 1623

immiscibility, wherein the second solvent could be ethyl acetate (claim7) and specific ratios of solvents and recovering sucralose via crystallization.

Navia et al teach the extraction of sucralose in an aqueous-brine solvent (first solvent) with impurities and extracting it with ethyl acetate (second solvent) to transfer impurities into the second solvent and then back-extract the ethyl acetate extracts with water (third solvent) to transfer the sucralose in to the water and retaining the second impurities in the first solvent (col. 10, lines 15-25; col. 6, lines 35-67). The sucralose is finally recovered by crystallization (col. 6, line 67). This is seen to meet the limitations of instant claims 5, 7, 9 and 10. It is well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art to adjust the ratios of solvents used to optimize the extraction process.

Regarding Claim 8, Navia et al teach the reaction mixture is initially in DMF (first solvent). This is then steam stripped to remove DMF. This step is seen as an extraction with a second solvent wherein some of the impurities will also be transferred out of the mixture. The crude sucralose is then extracted with ethyl acetate to transfer the sucralose in to the organic phase (sucralose transferred to the third solvent-ethyl acetate) while some second impurities are retained in the first solvent (see col. 10, lines 5-20). This teaching of Navia is seen to meet the limitation of claim 8.

Conclusion

- 1. Claims 5 and 7-10 are rejected.
- 2. Claims 12-48 drawn methods of extraction of sucralose as instantly claimed are neither taught or fairly suggested by the prior art of record.

Art Unit: 1623

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ganapathy Krishnan whose telephone number is 571-272-0654. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GK

MECO A VALODN ROSSO ANGLIT EVALUAT